

How the Deep State Spreads Lies

Review of Barbara F. Walter, *How Civil Wars Start and How to Stop Them* (New York: Crown, 2022) 294 pages.

Barbara F. Walter is a professor at the University of California, San Diego and a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. She has been a contractor for the CIA on the Political Instability Task Force and for the World Bank. She has written for *The Washington Post*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *Los Angeles Times*, and *Foreign Affairs*. She herself does not have roots in America. Her mother was Swiss and her father German. Her husband is a Canadian and she says that between them they have Swiss, Canadian, Hungarian and German passports. Her book has already been puffed by the major Deep State newspapers and magazines.

The book purports to be a sort of sociology or political science in which a scientific explanation is given for which nations are stable, and which generate civil wars, and under what conditions a nation passes from one of these states to the other. In actuality, the book is a platform for reissuing every false narrative promoted by the globalists, Deep State and the media from the Clinton administration onward, many of them based on their false flag operations. In short, Walter is a shill for the CIA and the globalists, and a good example of how these interests have embedded themselves in academia and the media.

The book is written in an anecdotal style in which some witness tells his story of some civil breakdown to illustrate the point about to be made, and then we get sections of theoretical exposition and some of historical narrative from the globalist point of view. According to the theory, there is something called a Polity Score “which captures just how democratic or autocratic a country is in any given year. It is a 21-point scale that ranges from -10 (most autocratic) to +10 (most democratic).” (p. 13) There is a group in the middle of this range that is called anocratic. This scale is based on how free and inclusive the elections are. Notice that the index takes no account of culture, of such values of the rule of law, of the foundational roles and stability of human institutions such as the family, religious structures such as the institutional church, of the differences between Christian, Islamic or Confucian culture, and within the latter the major differences between Protestant and Romanist social ideals, of differences between long-time centralized states such as France, and those with isolated communities that ran their own business. Instead it is all based on an election system which has never existed in some places, and where it exists at all is very recent in the form Polity index conceives of it. Also, as Walter is incensed that Donald Trump wanted voter roles purged, inclusive elections must include the dead, the non-resident, the ineligible, the redundant and the voters simply invented.

Take the example of American Republic as an example of the role of cultural values in stable government. This was set up as a Republic, not a democracy, which was seen as mob rule and the worst form of government. It had a limited franchise, that is limited to those invested in the society and with sufficient self-discipline and direction to conduct themselves successfully in it. It should always be remembered that the American colonies had 150 years of experience with self-government in some cases, and were accustomed to elections and representative government long before the United States were formed. Also it was for a society of people with a very strong core of shared values. As the debates surrounding adoption of the Constitution show, there was very strong apprehension about

allowing too great inclusiveness. That Roman Catholics, in such places as Maryland, were allowed was bad enough, but many doubted whether Jews would share in these national values, and it was obvious that Mohammedans were out of the question. Fortunately there did not seem to be any prospect of them coming here. As John Adams put it, "While our Country remains untainted with the Principles and manners, which are now producing desolation in so many Parts of the World: while she continues Sincere and incapable of insidious and impious Policy. ... We have no Government armed with Power capable of contending with human Passions unbridled by ... morality and Religion. Avarice, Ambition, Revenge or Galantry, would break the strongest Cords of our Constitution as a Whale goes through a Net. Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious People. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other." In short, what gave America its stability and initial success was that it was very far from a +10 on the Polity Score. Nor was it low on the score as were nations the Adams referred to opprobriously. Rather it had qualities that neither featured on the score nor were compatible with a high score.

Continuing with this example, for it is instructive compared to the civil war cases Walter introduces, when the Articles of Confederation were replaced by the United States Constitution, an error was made, allowing a region of the country to gain dominance of the affairs of other regions, and this was too great a temptation for financial interests, and led eventually to the break up of the country and the Civil War. The war came about when these same interests refused to recognize the completely legal right of states to secede.

Besides the existence of the Polity Score, the second part of Walter's theory is that civil wars start when 1) a state moves toward the middle of the Policy Score scale. And 2) some major group feels that its interests will no longer be protected by the civil government because of this change. They feel that they must act or be forever the losers.

The lesson that Walters draws from her examples is that this minority group which feels that it loosing its place in society must be give credible assurances that they will not be displaced from societies, or, just in case she hates them, they must be systematically and effectively suppressed so that as they lose their place they can't do anything about it. Specifically, the American people has begun to feel that they are losing America to outsiders, and Walters agrees, with pleasure, that they will be a minority by 2045. This accounts for their resistance to the globalist agenda, and Walters offers suggestions for how to keep them suppressed until that glorious day when it is too late for America.

Walter's Just So stories about Civil Wars

Iraq

Walter's first extended foreign story is about Iraq. It begins with the American occupation: "With Americans in charge, most Iraqis believed that their country would be reborn and that they would experience the freedom and opportunities available in Western countries." In Walter's account there was an optimistic period. Her informant, "Noor" even claimed that before then religion didn't even matter: "she had never been told she couldn't marry someone from a different ethnic or religious group. She had no sense that she was a minority or that religion even mattered; she didn't even know which of her friends were Shia or Sunni." (I don't find this credible.) That ended when the US outlawed the Baath party and threw hundreds of thousands of Sunnis out of power and out of work, leaving control

with the Shia. The Shia were not concerned to govern in the general interest, but put themselves first, as the majority group. The Sunnis started to fight because they were pushed out of power, and the Shia started to fight because America did not recognize their sectarian dominance, and because they had rival groups among themselves.

But when the US Army had gotten as far as Samarra in the initial invasion, they were already confronted by Shia mobs and some of the same leaders would cause as much trouble as they could later. The Shia Mohdi Army was formally organized already in June 2003. The religious and ethnic divisions were deep and ready to explode right from the beginning. Besides this, Iraq was an artificial country created by the British for their own purposes, and did not naturally cohere. The globalist Bush administration did not take this sufficiently into account because their own ideology discounted it. The regions within the country have much more coherence because of common identity and religion, but Bush did not want to work with that. Because of globalist stupidity the Bush administration did not have the sense to stay out of Iraq, and once there could not accept to work within the constraints of the reality that only at the regional level could government be made to work. By ‘work’ we mean normal politics, which does not exclude the usual corruption, plots against rivals, etc., (there is no way to force these people to be good) but avoiding a general civil war. All this is lost on Walter whose formula is to contrive the right sort of inclusiveness so that all groups feel a part of things, even if this inclusiveness requires people to give up their own core values—which means that in reality they won’t accept the globalist’s terms for coexistence.

Ex-Yugoslavia

Walter’s most extensive story is the war that took place with the breakup of Yugoslavia. This keeps coming up in chapter after chapter, so there is not concise statement of her theory on it in any one place. As with Iraq part of the problem was that borders did not reflect the actual location of the religious and ethnic groups. The grievances of these groups against each other are so varied, ancient and egregious that it is impossible to assign them a moral weight and come up with some balanced account of them. (I was involved in some organizing and protest against the US war against Serbia, which has given me occasion to think about this over the years, but to no avail. No account does justice to everyone’s perspective.) And things had been messed up further during the communist rule by Tito, whose communist ideology was much like that of the globalists in attempting to suppress such human realities in favor of a homogenized political community defined by ideological goals, though in practice Tito’s programs tended to the advantage of his own Croat group. Moreover there were bitter, recent historical grievances that the communist period sought to suppress, not redress. So with the dissolution of the communist regime there was no reserve of trust for government even at the regional level, as these regions mirrored the national problem of incorporating incompatible populations.

Despite this, as Walter tells it, in Bosnia there was scarcely an awareness of these differences. As with Iraq she presents here view through a narrator who reports: “Though Serbs, Croats, and Bosniaks had different religious heritages, just about everyone in Sarajevo was secular. Berina and Daris had a wide circle of friends and interesting jobs, and they had never given much thought to anyone’s ethnic identity.” (p. 48) Yet in Walter’s narrative politicians capitalized on ethnic differences and grievances to win easy election. Something that doesn’t matter suddenly matters so much that it is the path to political success and everyone goes to war over it. And she also has a narrative under which Tudjman in Croatia had for ten years been promoting exclusive Croatian nationalism, adopting Ustashe symbols and raising money internationally for a Croatian national party. This is something other than the Policy

Score at work, and involves something about identities and cultural foundations that Walter can't deal with in terms of globalist values, so no convincing explanation can be forthcoming.

Also missing from her account is why the globalists—her team—had to come into the war somewhat on the side of the Croats, but mainly backing the Muslims. Why did this war have to be anti-Serb in essence, the largest ethnic group and the one which could have unified the most territory in the most viable state?

Walter's story is one version, but there are others, for example this from Antiwar.com by Carlton Meyer:

The American Empire faced downsizing in the 1990s after the Warsaw Pact disbanded and Soviets troops withdrew home. American politicians demanded a reduction in military spending and the closure of most military bases in Europe. The solution was to start a war in Europe. Serbia (which was called Yugoslavia before 2006) openly resisted demands to open its economy to western banks and corporations and refused to join the European Union. As a result, Serbia was demonized and targeted for destruction. An ongoing rebellion by some Albanian immigrants in Serbia's province of Kosovo was chosen as a NATO cause. The American CIA began shipping arms to the Islamic Kosovo Liberation Army (KLA) and transported hundreds of al Qaeda mercenaries to Kosovo to attack Serbs. This was politically awkward since the KLA was on the U.S. State Department's list of terrorist groups. Serbian soldiers were dispatched to their southernmost province to repel these Islamic invaders. This increased the level of violence and Serbia was blamed. (<https://www.antiwar.com/blog/2020/07/02/the-empire-bombed-serbia-to-seize-kosovo-in-1999-video/>)

Ukraine

For Walter, problems begin when “Yanukovych, the leader of the country's pro-Russia party, had won the presidency in 2010, in a runoff election rife with accusations of fraud and voter intimidation.” (p. 23) This Yanukovych “ran against ‘the West’—the idea of increasing ties with the European Union—and instead championed Russian-speaking voters across Ukraine, especially those in the eastern part of the country, who wanted stronger ties to Russia.” (p. 23) Of course ties to the European Union does not mean ‘the West’, as the EU is a globalist, national culture crushing organization. And the difficulties faced by any presidential candidate in the Ukraine have to do with inherited intractable problems that force unworkable choices on the politicians. Like Iraq, the country has unnatural borders created by political decisions before its independence. The most eastern part was originally Russian but added to the nominal Ukraine in Soviet times, and when Ukraine became an independent country these areas went with it. Also, under Stalin, there had been an enormous genocide via famine conducted against the Ukraine and also much other damage to national development and culture. As a result there are strong feeling against and obviously distrust of Russians by many in Ukraine. Besides this, they have different languages, and to some extent religious differences with western Ukraine being more Catholic and Orthodoxy predominating in the east.

There are strong Ukrainian nationalist movements with militias especially in the west, and in the east the people, as noted, are actually Russians. No government can accommodate what each side wants. The solution to this is 1) ethnic cleansing like the Russians, Poles and Checks did after WW II to grab large areas of German settlement, and well as take over part of Finland, i.e. in the Ukraine case to make

the Russians leave their old homeland and retreat to what is Russia today, or 2) divide the state, either by returning the old Russian land to Russia or by a practical division of government between Ukrainian and Russian sectors with a national unity that is only nominal. Neither of these solutions is acceptable to the groups with power and probably not the population generally, so while that remains the case, the problem can't be solved, through part of it did get solved when the Crimea went back to Russia via Russian military occupation and popular plebiscite afterwards.

Into this situation came the European Union, globalists such as George Soros and his color revolutions, Neocons and the NGOs, and ... Well I was about to say the CIA, but an NGO (non-governmental organization) is actually an alternative three letter name for the CIA. In Walter's account there is no CIA in sight. It just that when "Yanukovich announced his intention to strengthen economic ties with Russia, rather than the European Union, citizens—many of them young people from European-leaning western Ukraine—decided they'd had enough." (p. 23) During a demonstration in Kiev at the Maidan there was a massacre of protesters by snipers. Then Yanukovich was ousted (or resigned, this varies in her account) and in came the new president Petro Poroshenko. So some eastern areas declared independence and organized a defense, soon receiving Russian aid, and soon the war was on.

But to others what took place was a classic CIA regime change operation. For example, Tad Galen Carpenter in a CATO Institute commentary says that "Yanukovich had been duly elected in balloting that international observers considered reasonably free and fair—about the best standard one can hope for outside the mature Western democracies. A decent respect for democratic institutions and procedures meant that he ought to be able to serve out his lawful term as president, which would end in 2016." (Note that Walter had called the election "rife with accusations of fraud and voter intimidation". She doesn't say that they actually happened. This is a case of coloring the narrative with innuendo that is completely typical of Walter.) "Western leaders made it clear that they supported the efforts of demonstrators to force Yanukovich to reverse course and approve the EU agreement or, if he would not do so, to remove the president before his term expired. Sen. John McCain (R-AZ), the ranking Republican on the Senate Armed Services Committee, went to Kiev to show solidarity with the Euromaidan activists. ... But McCain's actions were a model of diplomatic restraint compared to the conduct of Victoria Nuland, the assistant secretary of state for European and Eurasian Affairs." "It was a grotesque distortion to portray the events in Ukraine as a purely indigenous, popular uprising. The Nuland-Pyatt telephone conversation and other actions confirm that the United States was considerably more than a passive observer to the turbulence. Instead, U.S. officials were blatantly meddling in Ukraine. Such conduct was utterly improper." (<https://www.cato.org/commentary/americas-ukraine-hypocrisy>) See also: "It's not Russia that's pushed Ukraine to the brink of war" in *The Guardian*, (<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2014/apr/30/russia-ukraine-war-kiev-conflict>) Polish presidential candidate and EU deputy Janusz Korwin-Mikke, said "It was CIA's operation, but Maidan was also our operation. The snipers were trained in Poland. These terrorists shot 40 demonstrators and 20 police officers on the Maidan in order to provoke riots. The truth finds its way out," "I sit in the EU Parliament next to Mr. Urmas Paet, the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Estonia who acknowledged in a telephone conversation with Baroness Catherine Ashton that it was 'our people' who shot on the Maidan, and not Yanukovich's people, or Putin's people. Trained by us, in Western countries." (<https://eadaily.com/en/news/2015/04/20/presidential-candidate-in-poland-says-poland-and-cia-were-behind-maidan-and-maidan-snipers>)

The Proshenko government and the Obama administration eventually became so entwined that Joe Biden actually presided at Ukraine cabinet meetings.

Philippines, Abkhazia, Assam (India), Myanmar

In these countries there have been ethnic conflicts which are sometimes also religious ones. Here Walter adds migration to the causes of civil wars. An outside group moves in, generally with the sponsorship of a distant government, and begins to usurp the place of the native people and culture. A critique of Walter's specific accounts is left for people who know more about these regions than I do. Walter suggests that there will be much more of this, citing the globalist myth of global warming (now called climate change). "It is especially alarming, then, that the world is entering an unprecedented period of human migration, in large part due to climate change. As sea levels rise, droughts increase, and weather patterns change, more and more people will be forced to more hospitable terrain." She cites the Syrian war as an example of this, a change from her religion based account, perhaps the result of her staring into Syria for so long from her Golan Heights perch (see below). In Myanmar she faults Facebook, because it did not exercise the censorship necessary to keep parties from communicating and organizing.

It is important to note that globalists have dropped the global warming label, as it lacks real science, and now speak of climate change which has gone on as long as the earth has existed. But in their specific predictions it is the old global warming story that comes out in the details, not the cooling that is actually occurring due to solar cycles.

Nor will I critique her extended treatment of the cases of Ireland and Syria, though they strike me as highly tendentious and suspect. She hints around about the general religious divisions of India, but avoids getting into it in any depth. There are some odd ideas, such as when people don't get what they want through protests and elections, they turn to violence; as though there were a general obligation for peoples to surrender their interests to the malcontents in the name of peace. She gives a long list of countries where there have been protests, and comments: "What's disturbing is that these protests are failing at a higher rate than ever before. In the 1990s, peaceful protests had a 65 percent success rate, meaning that they resulted in the overthrow of a government or the gaining of independence. But since 2010, the success rate has dropped to 34 percent. ... And this leaves the world's oldest and freest democracies increasingly vulnerable."(p. 92) So the argument is that governments are now more vulnerable because it is harder to overthrow them. Go figure.

Walter's Just So stories about America

The plot to kidnap Michigan governor Whitmer

According to Walter this plot was started when a homeless man named Adam Fox listened to Republican candidate Mike Detmer's criticism of COVID restrictions. He then used Facebook to gather other disgruntled individuals: "One was affiliated with the Three Percenters militia group; another supported QAnon [sic]; another followed the social media accounts of the Proud Boys." This group began to survey Whitmer's house in order to kidnap her. "But the FBI was on to them. After

discovering the group's activity on social media in early 2020, agents had infiltrated the group online and recruited informants who agreed to wear a wire or gather information.” (pp. xii-xiii)

Notice, first the mention of Facebook and social media. It is a continual refrain of Walter that these communication channels that bypass the media gatekeepers are menace that has to be brought under control. He also hear about QAnon. There is a Q who posted on 4chan and later 8chan where anons (anonymity is required at these sites) of various predilections post their material, but a QAnon is a left-wing media invention to carry a propaganda narrative. When anyone says QAnon they are either a media shill, or someone whose understanding has been molded by media shills. Whitmer, for her part, has tried to tie this plot to President Trump and to “white supremacy.”

The alternative story, reported by the free media, can be found in an article on Gateway Pundit by Patty McMurray.

The truth is, the more the public discovers about the men involved in the plot to kidnap Governor Whitmer, the more it's clear the anti-police, anti-government, anti-Trump radicals were more aligned with the Marxist BLM movement and violent domestic terrorist group, Antifa. (<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2021/12/defense-lawyers-ask-judge-toss-whitmer-kidnap-case-claim-evidence-shows-defendants-no-interest-plot-fed-agents-informants-kept-pushing/>)

Of the fourteen arrested in the plot at least five were undercover agents or federal informants. Richard Trusk, the lead agent of the FBI special agents, was himself a violent offender since charged with assault and intent to do great bodily harm against his wife. The defense lawyers say that “the suspects had no operational plan to do anything, were engaged in all legal activities — including talking in encrypted group chats and practicing military exercises with lawfully owned guns — and that it was the informants and undercover agents who “pushed” others to do illegal things.” The group turned out to consist of six defendants and twelve FBI informants. Michael Hills, an attorney for Brandon Caserta, one of the six defendants, produced text messages showing an FBI field agent telling an informant to lie, frame an innocent man and delete text messages. In fact it looks like an FBI plot to kidnap Whitmer. The FBI is still withholding evidence from the defense attorneys.

Walter, though, claims that “the plot to kidnap Whitmer ... fit into a pattern I had been writing and thinking about for decades. There have been hundreds of civil wars over the past seventy-five years, and many of them started in an eerily similar way.” She instances Hamas, Sinn Féin, Colombia's FARC, Zimbabwe, Myanmar and the civil war in Syria. About that she has special insight because “I have stood on top of the Golan Heights and stared into Syria.” (p. xiv)

January 6 Capitol Police Riot

Walter paints the scenario this way: “In the weeks since the presidential election in November, Trump had refused to concede that he'd lost to Democrat Joe Biden, insisting that widespread voting fraud had cheated him of his rightful landslide victory. Democrats, he claimed, had worked behind the scenes, state by state, to ensure his loss. After the election, Trump had rallied an army of lawyers to contest the results, and he had bullied governors and election officials to try to alter the vote counts. He had also asserted, falsely, that Vice President Mike Pence has the power to overturn the votes of the electoral college.” (pp. 129-130) Then she goes back in time to try to paint the presidential campaign as a

campaign of violence. “Political violence had long been encouraged as legitimate by their leader himself—as far back as 2016, in fact, when he’s run his presidential campaign against Hillary Clinton to chants of ‘Lock her up!’” (p. 131) She refers to the Charlottesville demonstrations (where actually police corralled conservative demonstrators and then watch laughing while leftists groups beat them), but for her it was “white nationalists demonstrators converged in Charlottesville” and “Trump had shrugged off the violence” saying there were ‘very fine people’ on both sides”. She accuses Trump of escalating tensions in the Minneapolis and Portland Black Lives Matter riots and city burnings by calling them terrorists and “threatening to unleash federal agents on them.” All this Walter thinks signaled to the crowd what to do on January 6 in Washington. “They were streaming toward the Capitol before he’d even finished his speech. They didn’t bother with side roads, instead marching along Pennsylvania and Constitution avenues and straight down the Mall.” Apparently Walter thinks Republicans should not be allowed on main streets.

It is significant that the crowd headed for the capitol before Trump finished, because certain groups had to be there first to control things. These were the FBI provocateurs and FBI front groups such as Antifa, who had donned Trump campaign gear for the occasion. “One the west side, the mob quickly knocked barricades over, violently clashing with police officers. Others scaled walls. Still others sprayed chemical agents and broke windows.” (p. 133). Videos, though, show hooded figures moving the barricades aside, while other hooded figures, well in advance of the crowd and in full view of the police, motioned the crowd forward. These hooded figures were, in all likelihood, Capitol police. The window climbing and smashing was also the work of FBI operators and Antifa. Meanwhile the Capitol building doors were opened from inside and the people invited in by the Capitol police themselves.

The Capitol police opened an attack on the crowd outside which was peacefully demonstrating and had not been ordered to clear the area. Information on what happened has been carefully pieced together from pictures and videos made available in the year since. The account is available here: *Capitol Offense – The Ugly Truth Behind the Five Deaths From January 6th and 7th*. (<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/01/hansen-capitol-offense-ugly-truth-behind-five-deaths-january-6th-7th/>) It shows “how the Government, Capitol/MPD (Metropolitan Police Department) Police specifically, were responsible for all five deaths, and how they conspired to hide the truth from the public.” The January 6 “Attack on the Capitol” turns out to be a false flag operation set up by the FBI, Capitol police, and Nancy Pelosi. The purpose of the operation was to create the “attack on the Capitol” narrative to justify police state measures, afterward pushed energetically by Democrats, RINOs, the media and now by Barbara Walter. But here is the question that should be asked: What was Trump up to in calling for this demonstration when he surely had intelligence of the FBI and Capitol police plan?

As for her claim that Pence had no right to overturn the votes of the electoral college, that is not what Trump asked him to do. See: “The Vice President’s Position Is Not an Automatic Conveyor If Obvious Signs of Voter Fraud or Irregularities Exist” – President Trump on Mike Pence Certifying Corrupt 2020 Election Results” (<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/02/vice-presidents-position-not-automatic-conveyor-obvious-signs-voter-fraud-irregularities-exist-president-trump-mike-pence-certifying-corrupt-2020-election-results/>) “The President and most of America wanted him to challenge the results in the six swing states where obvious and outright fraud was committed by the Biden camp to steal the election. Certifying those results was certifying criminal acts of fraud.” More strangely, the Democrats have introduced legislation to make the vice president’s role in the counting of electoral votes purely ceremonial, meaning that it isn’t.

Walter has other fairy stories. She says “Trump unilaterally purged government figures he found disloyal and leveraged bureaucratic operations to benefit his administration and punish opponents.” (p. 137) Trump’s problem was his failure to do this. He was surrounded by Deep State operatives, and presided over a bureaucracy that refused to implement his policies, stonewalling and sabotaging his administration at every step. “As his tenure progressed, he sought to expand executive powers, refused to release his tax returns, instituted a rash of executive orders, and pardoned guilty friends of crimes.” (p. 137) Trump was faced with petty local judges who, without legal precedent, issued national orders blocking Trump’s administrative actions, his “rash of executive orders” were nothing compared to Joe Biden’s, which do not perturb Walter at all, he was under no obligation to release his tax returns (has Walter released hers?). As for pardons, Trump pardoned 143, Obama 212 and Clinton 396. Of Trump’s pardons the most disturbing was of Israeli spy Aviem Sella who handled the traitor Jonathan Pollard who gave critical nuclear technology to Israel (which may have passed it on to China). But these people were in the globalist and neo-con camp.

Walter next attacks Trump because he “challenged governors who tried to contain the spread of COVID-19 by turning shutdown into a political issue.” (p. 138) It always was a political issue, and an attempt to destroy the economy and personal freedom by coercive measures of no value to health, and often causing great harm to it, such as killing the elderly in nursing homes by forcing COVID patients into them. What she could legitimately attack Trump for was his “beautiful vaccine” which is proving to be more deadly than COVID. (<https://usawatchdog.com/white-coat-mafia-tyranny-dr-betsy-eads/>) But she doesn’t mention this, for it is part of the globalist agenda.

Oklahoma City Bombing

Timothy McVeigh was the patsy in a false-flag operation under the Clinton administration. McVeigh was part of a team that blew up the federal building in Oklahoma City. McVeigh, according to the non-official story, while in the army had been recruited to infiltrate patriot militia groups. According to this narrative he was a figure like Lee Harvey Oswald, recruited into a government operation, but designated to take the blame for it. There are the usual strange lapses in information. “The FBI claims the security cameras did not record just prior to the blast or during the blast, because “they had run out of tape” or “the tape was being replaced.” One interesting aspect of all the tapes is that they suddenly begin recording again right after the 9:02 a.m. blast. Yet, there is no footage of the truck pulling up to the building, parking or of the multiple passengers exiting the truck, as seen and attested to by a number of eyewitnesses”. Then there is a long trail of mysterious deaths of those who knew McVeigh, once again reminiscent of the Kennedy assassination. The FBI withheld a large amount of evidence from McVeigh’s trial. According to McVeigh, he always knew he had to take the blame. “I was never trying to escape capture. My arrest was all a part of the mission. The bombing had to land squarely at the feet of someone involved in the anti-government movement. I left a paper trail that even a blind man could follow.” For a more detailed account see: “Hidden in Plain Sight: The Truth About Timothy McVeigh and the Oklahoma City Bombing”, *Winter Watch*, September 28, 2020 (<https://www.winterwatch.net/2020/09/hidden-in-plain-sight-the-truth-about-timothy-mcveigh-and-the-oklahoma-city-bombing/>) For a critical account in an establishment publication see also: “Oklahoma City bombing: 20 years later, key questions remain unanswered” *The Guardian*, April 13, 2015 (<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2015/apr/13/oklahoma-city-bombing-20-years-later-key-questions-remain-unanswered>)

It has been alleged and denied that the building held documents incriminating the Clintons, the result of a federal investigation. Either way, it was a win for the Clinton administration.

Oklahoma City created a huge political opportunity, which Clinton quickly seized. On April 27, a little more than a week after the bombing, Dick Morris, then a little-known but influential Clinton adviser, presented the President a fantastically naked political memo that, as you can find in his book *Behind the Oval Office: Getting Reelected Against All Odds*, said: “Permanent possible gain: sets up Extremist Issue vs. Republicans.” Morris suggested using “extremism as issue against Republicans,” not by “direct accusations,” but via a “ricochet theory.”

Clinton should “stimulate national concern over extremism and terror,” Morris wrote, and then “implement intrusive policy against extremist groups.” Morris predicted that radical right-wingers would write their local Republican congressmen, and that in turn “this will provoke criticism by right-wing Republicans which will link right-wing of the party to extremist groups.” (Peter Keating, “Remembering Oklahoma City, and How Bill Clinton Saved His Presidency” *New York Magazine*, April 19, 2010, https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2010/04/remembering_oklahoma_city_and.html)

But beyond the value to the Clinton administration, it was a dream come true for liberals who increase the police state powers of the Federal government and curtail civil rights.

Walter represents the Oklahoma City bombing as an insurgent operation, and tries to link him to the Michigan Militia, and a parallel to the January 6 Capitol event. According to *The Guardian* account “within 90 minutes of the bombing, McVeigh was pulled over near the Kansas border and arrested, alone, at the wheel of a glaringly improbable getaway car, an ancient, spluttering rust bucket of a Mercury sedan with no licence plates, which made him a sitting duck for any passing highway patrolman.” The Walter story is that “After the Oklahoma City bombing, the FBI enlisted more than 1,400 investigators to sift through three tons of evidence to find the bomber Timothy McViegh without any digital photographs.” (p. 209)

Social Media

But social media platforms have proven to be a Pandora’s box. The age of information sharing has opened up unmitigated, unregulated pathways to the spread of misinformation (which is erroneous) or disinformation (which is intentionally misleading). Charlatans, conspiracy theorists, trolls, demagogues, and anti-democratic agents who had previously been shut out of the media environment—or at least had great difficulty gaining a mass audience—suddenly gained traction. (p. 109)

It is true that there is much of this in social media. This stands in contrast to mainstream media, owned and controlled by globalists like Walter, *all* of which is dedicated to misinformation and disinformation agendas. In her attack she specifically names Facebook, YouTube, Google, and Twitter, not the free sites that are replacing them as sources of information. It is clear that Walter is writing to support the censorship agenda that is now underway in what we might call mainstream media social media, owned by tech giants, which are all globalist and deep state concerns. They have never acted outside of the plan. She also names the “right-wing content created by provocative talk show host Joe Rogan” on YouTube. Rogan, never right-wing, is now being forced onto freer media by the medical-industrial

complex whose money rules big tech as well. It is telling that from Walter's extremist perspective he looks like the right wing.

One claim by Walter is clearly false: "And the big technology companies—who are now the new gatekeepers of news and information—have not incentive to restrict who uses their platforms or what they say." (p. 111) On the contrary, big tech and big pharma, are controlled by the same big money bags—just look at Bill Gates—who also control Deep State entities like the CDC and NIH. They have a tremendous incentive to censor in order to maintain and extend their control over society.

Walter claims that the Philippine's Rodrigo Duterte, who she doesn't like, came to power through social media. It is natural for Walter to see him as an enemy, as since coming to power he has been whacking drug dealers, distributors of the CIA's important drug income stream. Other of her social media bad guys are Erdoğan in Turkey, Modi in India, Rajoy in Spain, and Bolsonaro in Brazil. "All were social media savvy dark horse candidates." This, of course, is a threat to the globalists, as they operate by controlling what candidates are available for the public to vote for in their "free elections". In that way a country that is +10 on their Policy Score can be completely controlled by the elite. "In the past, if a politician wanted to influence voters, they had to go through gatekeepers." (p. 115)

Who else is on Walter's enemies list? "Something similar happened in Hungary, where President Viktor Orbán has also become more popular over time, not less. In Europe, right-wing anti-immigrant parties such as the Alternative für Deutschland in Germany, the Lega Nord in Italy, the Vlaams Belang in Belgium, the Front National in France, and the Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs in Austria have all seen their support increase in recent years." (p. 118) All over Europe Freiheit threatens to get past the gatekeepers! Worse, the waves of migration, that she says start civil wars, might be stopped!

The reason social media is so gripping is that "myth, emotion, and the politics of grievance—all of which drive factionalism—make for incredibly engaging content." (p. 119) Why is this? What is it in human nature that globalism wants to suppress in its quest for power (generating grievances while it does so), and which it does not want brought to public attention? Walter is worried about Sweden (pp. 119-121). While everyone else is concerned that the Muslims are swamping the country, erasing Swedish national culture and civilized values, she fears that Nazis are coming to power. In the UK the scary figure is Paul Joseph Watson who "has said that Islam glorifies sexual assault" and in France she fears Marie Le Pen "a peddler of ugly rhetoric about immigrants and the supremacy of French culture." God forbid that French culture be supreme in France! It is even the case that "Le Pen has fifteen permanent staffers who carry out research, craft memes, and coordinate the party's attempts to discredit opponents on social media." (p. 123) The horror! (By the way, now many staffers do the CIA, FBI, the DNC and all their NGO front groups have? Has Walter ever been a staffer for these organizations?)

Stopping Civil Wars

Walter's model here is South Africa. What makes it wonderful is that the whites gave in to the blacks. As for the systematic killing of white farmers and takeover of their land, this does not deserve a mention, because for Walter the important thing is that the side she hates gave up and lost. Another thing she advocates is lawfare, pointing out the liberal groups can gang up on conservatives, bankrupt them and have the courts take away their civil rights. She points to the success of liberals after Charlottesville to remove the rights of assembly from conservatives. She wants more centralization of power, a national agency to run elections, and centralized police power. "If citizens in rural Nevada or

Oregon know that the federal government is in charge, as opposed to a far-right sheriff, they might be less apt to support a militia.” (p. 312) The important point, to which she returns over and over, is how to keep down the whites.

She recognizes that political alliances that can be relied on for this suppression have long been in place.

Democrats, seeing a chance to win over more atheists, agnostics, and culturally liberal voters, came out more and more in favor of women’s rights and access to abortion. By the early twenty-first century, if you were Christian or evangelical, you had little choice but to vote Republican. Early partisan divides on abortion were followed by increasingly polarized positions on gay rights and eventually transgender rights. ... Moral imperatives and cultural identities were now, more than ever, driving voting patterns. (p. 143)

This is the deliberate, systematic destruction of the social consensus that John Adams identified as essential for American government to function. Walter calls this destruction “embracing diversity.”

Barbara Walter has made no attempt to make her book look scholarly. It is a chatty, popular piece, openly leaning on liberal prejudices on every page. Her thesis about the Polity Score as the explanation of the outbreak of conflict is rubbish. Also she cares nothing for the facts in her accounts, but only to thread together the Deep State narratives. But she is what now passes for an intellectual in establishment circles, and her present employer, UC, San Diego is what passes for a university. The book is a useful measure of how far academia has gone beyond rationality. If we want to learn the truth about how civil wars start, though, we will have to look elsewhere. For often what really happens is that the CIA starts them, and then Barbara Walter lies about it.